arXiv:1609.08019v1 [physics.atom-ph] 23 Sep 2016

SSU-HEP-16/09

Lamb shift in muonic ions of lithium, beryllium and boron

A.A. Krutov, A.P. Martynenko, F.A. Martynenko, and O.S. Sukhorukova

Samara University, 443086, Moskovskoe shosse 34, Samara, Russia

We present a precise calculation of the Lamb shift $(2P_{1/2} - 2S_{1/2})$ in muonic ions $(\mu^6_3 Li)^{2+}$, $(\mu^7_3 Li)^{2+}$, $(\mu^9_4 Be)^{3+}$, $(\mu^{10}_4 Be)^{3+}$, $(\mu^{10}_5 B)^{4+}$, $(\mu^{11}_5 B)^{4+}$. The contributions of orders $\alpha^3 \div \alpha^6$ to the vacuum polarization, nuclear structure and recoil, relativistic effects are taken into account. Our numerical results are consistent with previous calculations and improve them due to account of new corrections. The obtained results can be used for the comparison with future experimental data, and extraction more accurate values of nuclear charge radii.

PACS numbers: 31.30.Jv, 12.20.Ds, 32.10.Fn
Keywords: quantum electrodynamics, muonic ions, the Lamb shift

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years a special interest in the physics of elementary particles is related with muons. Experimental study of the muon anomalous magnetic moment revealed a certain discrepancy between theoretical and experimental results. The subsequent in 2010 year measurement of the Lamb shift in muonic hydrogen has led to another problem, called the proton charge radius puzzle [1–4]. After a new measurement of the Lamb shift [5] in muonic deuterium it became clear that there is a discrepancy in the values of the charge radius of the proton and deuteron determined by electronic and muonic atoms. This may mean that the muons are playing an important role in subatomic physics, which is not fully understood. The experimental CREMA collaboration program includes other muon atoms, especially muonic helium ions [6], but it apparently can be extended to the study of other light muonic atoms. The transition energy $2P \to 2S$ in light muonic atoms can be precisely measured by laser spectroscopy as in muonic hydrogen. Therefore, additional theoretical study of muon bound states and a calculation of their energy levels, along with experimental investigations, can contribute to a better understanding of the essence of the problem.

The interest in muonic ions $(\mu Li)^{2+}$, $(\mu Be)^{3+}$, $(\mu B)^{4+}$ is also connected to the fact that, as has been established in this case, there is a strong cancelation of two main contributions to the one-loop vacuum polarization and the structure of the nucleus [7, 8]. As a result, the Lamb shift value lies in a wide range of wavelengths $150 \div 1100$ nm from ultraviolet to infrared region of the spectrum, making it possible for its study of laser spectroscopy methods. The measurement of transition frequencies gives an opportunity to obtain more exact information about nuclear size and structure. Another important conclusion arising out of this cancelation is that a more significant role than usual, beginning to play the contributions of higher order in α, as well as contributions containing large degrees of nuclear charge Z. Their methodical analysis is very important to increase the accuracy of calculation of the Lamb shift [9, 10]. As usual, the most important corrections in the Lamb shift are the corrections to the vacuum polarization, the nuclear structure and recoil, as well as complex

combination corrections to the vacuum polarization and relativism, which we explore in this paper.

Fundamentals of calculating of energy spectra for light muonic atoms were formulated many years ago in relativistic approach based on the Dirac equation, and in nonrelativistic Schrödinger method in [11–16] (see other references in review articles [13, 15]). After recent experiments of the CREMA collaboration in 2010 year there were many works devoted to the muonic atoms, in order to overcome the arisen difference in the magnitude of the charge radius of the proton [17–26] (see other references in [3]). They carried out a new analysis of the main contribution to the Lamb shift and hyperfine structure of the spectrum and the various corrections that have quite significant numerical value. There was also analyzed a number of relatively subtle effects in the fine and hyperfine structure, which however did not lead to any considerable change in the results (see, for example, [27–30]). The aim of the present work is to extend our previous calculations of the Lamb shift in muonic helium ions [31] on other muonic ions such as muonic lithium, muonic beryllium and muonic boron. We consistently calculate the contributions of orders $\alpha^3 \div \alpha^6$ within the framework of the quasipotential method in quantum electrodynamics [32–35]. It is important to know also the hyperfine splitting of levels for the evaluation of observed transition frequencies [8]. As in previous works we take modern numerical values of fundamental physical constants from [36, 37]. Since the corrections to the structure of the nucleus play a key role further, let us write explicitly used values of the nuclear charge radii: $r(^6_3Li) = (2.5890 \pm 0.0390)$ fm, $r(^7_3Li) = (2.4440 \pm 0.0420)$ fm, $r(^9_4Be) = (2.5190 \pm 0.0120)$ fm, $r(^{10}_4Be) = (2.3550 \pm 0.0170)$ fm, $r(^{10}_5B) = (2.4277 \pm 0.0499)$ fm, $r(^{11}_5B) = (2.4060 \pm 0.0294)$ fm.

II. EFFECTS OF VACUUM POLARIZATION IN THE ONE-PHOTON INTERACTION

Let us begin by recalling the basic assumptions of the quasipotential approach in the calculation of the Lamb shift. Muonic ion is described by the Schrödinger equation with the Breit Hamiltonian [38]:

$$H_B = \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\mu} - \frac{Z\alpha}{r} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^4}{8m_1^3} - \frac{\mathbf{p}^4}{8m_2^3} + \frac{\pi Z\alpha}{2}\left(\frac{1}{m_1^2} + \frac{\delta_I}{m_2^2}\right)\delta(\mathbf{r}) - \tag{1}$$

$$-\frac{Z\alpha}{2m_1m_2r}\left(\mathbf{p}^2 + \frac{\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{rp})\mathbf{p}}{r^2}\right) + \frac{Z\alpha}{r^3}\left(\frac{1}{4m_1^2} + \frac{1}{2m_1m_2}\right)(\mathbf{L}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1) = H_0 + \Delta V^B,$$

where $H_0 = \mathbf{p}^2/2\mu - Z\alpha/r$, m_1, m_2 are the muon and nucleus masses, $\mu = m_1m_2/(m_1+m_2)$, $\delta_I = 1$ for nucleus with half-integer spin, $\delta_I = 0$ for nucleus with integer spin. The exact solution of the Schrödinger equation with the Hamiltonian H_0 is then used in the calculation of the shifts of $2S$ and 2P energy levels by perturbation theory.

As is well known the basic contribution to the Lamb shift in muonic atoms is determined by the effect of electron vacuum polarization (VP) in 1γ-interaction. The potential of particle interaction corresponding to this effect has the form:

$$V^C_{vp}(r) = \frac{\alpha}{3\pi}\int_1^\infty d\xi\rho(\xi)\left(-\frac{Z\alpha}{r}e^{-2m_e\xi r}\right), \quad \rho(\xi) = \frac{\sqrt{\xi^2-1}(2\xi^2+1)}{\xi^4}. \tag{2}$$

It gives the shift of energy levels for $2S$ and $2P$ states which can be presented in analytical form ($k_1 = 2m_e/W$, $W = \mu Z\alpha$):

$$\Delta E_{vp}(2S) = -\frac{\mu(Z\alpha)^2\alpha}{6\pi}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi\int_0^\infty xdx\left(1-\frac{x}{2}\right)^2 e^{-x\left(1+\frac{2m_e\xi}{W}\right)} = \tag{3}$$

$$\frac{1}{12\left(1-k_1^2\right)^{5/2}}\Big[\sqrt{1-k_1^2}\left(-168k_1^6+272k_1^4-49k_1^2+6\pi\left(k_1^2-1\right)^2\left(14k_1^2+3\right)k_1-28\right)+$$

$$+3\left(56k_1^8-128k_1^6+75k_1^4+10k_1^2-4\right)\ln\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-k_1^2}}{k_1}\right)\Big],$$

$$\Delta E_{vp}(2P) = -\frac{\mu(Z\alpha)^2\alpha}{72\pi}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi\int_0^\infty x^3dxe^{-x\left(1+\frac{2m_e\xi}{W}\right)} = \tag{4}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\left(1-k_1^2\right)^{5/2}}\Big[\sqrt{1-k_1^2}\left(-120k_1^6+184k_1^4-23k_1^2+6\pi\left(k_1^2-1\right)^2\left(10k_1^2+3\right)k_1-32\right)$$

$$+3\left(40k_1^8-88k_1^6+45k_1^4+10k_1^2-4\right)\ln\left(\frac{1-\sqrt{1-k_1^2}}{k_1}\right)\Big].$$

Expressions (3) and (4) give the following numerical values to the Lamb shift in the muonic ions:

$$\Delta E_{vp}(2P-2S) = \begin{cases} {}^6_3Li: 4664.95\ meV, & {}^7_3Li: 4682.38\ meV \\ {}^9_4Be: 9255.79\ meV, & {}^{10}_4Be: 9270.74\ meV \\ {}^{10}_5B: 15356.42\ meV, & {}^{11}_5B: 15375.55\ meV \end{cases}. \tag{5}$$

We retain two significant figures after the decimal point in all obtained expressions. The order of contributions (3) and (4) is clearly extracted in front of integrals. For the calculation of muon VP contribution we use again (3) and (4) changing $m_e \to m_\mu$. Corresponding numerical values which have the order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$ are included in Tables I,II,III.

The two-loop vacuum polarization effects in the one-photon interaction can be divided into two parts: loop-after-loop correction (vp-vp) and two-loop vacuum polarization operator correction which we denote further as the "2-loop vp" correction. The potential of loop-after-loop VP effect has the form [10, 31]:

$$V^C_{vp-vp}(r) = \frac{\alpha^2}{9\pi^2}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi\int_1^\infty \rho(\eta)d\eta\left(-\frac{Z\alpha}{r}\right)\frac{1}{(\xi^2-\eta^2)}\left(\xi^2e^{-2m_e\xi r}-\eta^2e^{-2m_e\eta r}\right). \tag{6}$$

Calculating the matrix elements of the potential (5) in the first order perturbation theory, we find the contribution to the Lamb shift of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^2$:

$$\Delta E_{vp-vp}(2P-2S) = \begin{cases} {}^6_3Li: 14.20\ meV, & {}^7_3Li: 14.28\ meV \\ {}^9_4Be: 33.79\ meV, & {}^{10}_4Be: 34.06\ meV \\ {}^{10}_5B: 64.40\ meV, & {}^{11}_5B: 64.52\ meV \end{cases}. \tag{7}$$

There is another correction to the potential which is determined by the amplitude with two sequential electron and muon loops:

$$\Delta V_{vp-mvp}(r) = -\frac{4(Z\alpha)\alpha^2}{45\pi^2m_1^2}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi\left[\pi\delta(\mathbf{r})-\frac{m_e^2\xi^2}{r}e^{-2m_e\xi r}\right]. \tag{8}$$

It gives the correction of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^4$ to the Lamb shift $(2P-2S)$ which is included in Tables I,II,III.

Two-loop polarization operator contribution to the potential can be presented in the form similar to (2) with more complicated spectral function $f(v)$ [39]:

$$\Delta V^C_{2-loop\ vp} = -\frac{2}{3}\frac{Z\alpha}{r}\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2\int_0^1 \frac{f(v)dv}{(1-v^2)}e^{-\frac{2m_e r}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}}, \tag{9}$$

$$f(v) = v\Big\{(3-v^2)(1+v^2)\left[Li_2\left(-\frac{1-v}{1+v}\right)+2Li_2\left(\frac{1-v}{1+v}\right)+\frac{3}{2}\ln\frac{1+v}{1-v}\ln\frac{1+v}{2}-\ln\frac{1+v}{1-v}\ln v\right] \tag{10}$$
$$+\left[\frac{11}{16}(3-v^2)(1+v^2)+\frac{v^4}{4}\right]\ln\frac{1+v}{1-v}+\left[\frac{3}{2}v(3-v^2)\ln\frac{1-v^2}{4}-2v(3-v^2)\ln v\right]+\frac{3}{8}v(5-3v^2)\Big\},$$

where $Li_2(z)$ is the Euler dilogarithm. The potential $\Delta V^C_{2-loop\ vp}(r)$ gives the contribution to the Lamb shift $(2P-2S)$ of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^2$:

$$\Delta E_{2-loop\ vp}(2P-2S) = \begin{cases} {}^6_3Li : 18.21\ meV, & {}^7_3Li : 18.26\ meV \\ {}^9_4Be : 31.66\ meV, & {}^{10}_4Be : 31.69\ meV \\ {}^{10}_5B : 47.54\ meV, & {}^{11}_5B : 47.57\ meV \end{cases}. \tag{11}$$

Numerical value of corrections (7) and (11) show that at necessary level of accuracy we should calculate three-loop VP contributions in one-photon interaction. One part of three-loop VP effects with successive loops in the scattering amplitude (loop-after-loop-after-loop, two-loop-after-loop) can be derived as potential (6). Corresponding contributions to the potential and the Lamb shift $(2P-2S)$ are the following:

$$V^C_{vp-vp-vp}(r) = -\frac{Z\alpha}{r}\frac{\alpha^3}{(3\pi)^3}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi\int_1^\infty \rho(\eta d\eta\int_1^\infty \rho(\zeta)d\zeta\times \tag{12}$$

$$\times\left[e^{-2m_e\zeta r}\frac{\zeta^4}{(\xi^2-\zeta^2)(\eta^2-\zeta^2)}+e^{-2m_e\xi r}\frac{\xi^4}{(\zeta^2-\xi^2)(\eta^2-\xi^2)}+e^{-2m_e\eta r}\frac{\eta^4}{(\xi^2-\eta^2)(\zeta^2-\eta^2)}\right],$$

$$V^C_{vp-2-loop\ vp} = -\frac{4\mu\alpha^3(Z\alpha)}{9\pi^3}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi\int_1^\infty \frac{f(\eta)d\eta}{\eta}\frac{1}{r(\eta^2-\xi^2)}\left(\eta^2e^{-2m_e\eta r}-\xi^2e^{-2m_e\xi r}\right), \tag{13}$$

$$\Delta E_{vp-vp-vp}(2P-2S) = \begin{cases} {}^6_3Li : 0.04\ meV, & {}^7_3Li : 0.04\ meV \\ {}^9_4Be : 0.11\ meV, & {}^{10}_4Be : 0.11\ meV \\ {}^{10}_5B : 0.20\ meV, & {}^{11}_5B : 0.24\ meV, \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

$$\Delta E_{vp-2-loop\ vp}(2P-2S) = \begin{cases} {}^6_3Li : 0.12\ meV, & {}^7_3Li : 0.12\ meV \\ {}^9_4Be : 0.27\ meV, & {}^{10}_4Be : 0.27\ meV \\ {}^{10}_5B : 0.48\ meV, & {}^{11}_5B : 0.48\ meV. \end{cases}. \tag{15}$$

Another part of the diagrams corresponds to the three-loop corrections to the polarization operator. They were first calculated for the $(2P-2S)$ Lamb shift in muonic hydrogen in [40, 41]. An estimate of their contribution to the Lamb shift is included in Tables I-III. Finally, there exists another one-loop vacuum polarization correction of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$ in the

Lamb shift known as the Wichmann-Kroll correction [42, 43]. Its calculation was discussed repeatedly in [15, 31], so we restrict ourselves here by including numerical results in the final Tables as well as the whole light-by-light contribution (see detailed calculation in [44]). Almost all of the corrections presented in this section are written in the integral form, and are therefore specific character for each muon atom. The presented numerical values provide important information about the change in the value of corrections in different muonic ions.

III. RELATIVISTIC CORRECTIONS WITH THE ACCOUNT OF VACUUM POLARIZATION EFFECTS

The electron vacuum polarization effects modify not only the Coulomb potential, but also all other terms of the Breit Hamiltonian. Appropriate potentials, which take into account relativistic effects and vacuum polarization effects were built in [10, 16, 45, 46]:

$$\Delta V^B_{vp}(r) = \frac{\alpha}{3\pi}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi \sum_{i=1}^{4} \Delta V^B_{i,vp}(r), \tag{16}$$

$$\Delta V^B_{1,vp} = \frac{Z\alpha}{8}\left(\frac{1}{m_1^2}+\frac{\delta_I}{m_2^2}\right)\left[4\pi\delta(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{4m_e^2\xi^2}{r}e^{-2m_e\xi r}\right], \tag{17}$$

$$\Delta V^B_{2,vp} = -\frac{Z\alpha m_e^2\xi^2}{m_1 m_2 r}e^{-2m_e\xi r}(1-m_e\xi r), \tag{18}$$

$$\Delta V^B_{3,vp} = -\frac{Z\alpha}{2m_1m_2}p_i\frac{e^{-2m_e\xi r}}{r}\left[\delta_{ij}+\frac{r_i r_j}{r^2}(1+2m_e\xi r)\right]p_j, \tag{19}$$

$$\Delta V^B_{4,vp} = \frac{Z\alpha}{r^3}\left(\frac{1}{4m_1^2}+\frac{1}{2m_1m_2}\right)e^{-2m_e\xi r}(1+2m_e\xi r)(\mathbf{L}\boldsymbol{\sigma}_1). \tag{20}$$

An averaging of these terms gives the corrections of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$ to the Lamb shift $(2P-2S)$:

$$\Delta E^B_{1,vp}(2P-2S) = \begin{cases} {}^{6}_{3}Li: -5.55\ meV, & {}^{7}_{3}Li: -5.60\ meV \\ {}^{9}_{4}Be: -19.94\ meV, & {}^{10}_{4}Be: -20.02\ meV \\ {}^{10}_{5}B: -52.76\ meV, & {}^{11}_{5}B: -52.94\ meV \end{cases}, \tag{21}$$

$$\Delta E^B_{2,vp}(2P-2S) = \begin{cases} {}^{6}_{3}Li: 0.04\ meV, & {}^{7}_{3}Li: 0.04\ meV \\ {}^{9}_{4}Be: 0.90\ meV, & {}^{10}_{4}Be: 0.08\ meV \\ {}^{10}_{5}B: 0.20\ meV, & {}^{11}_{5}B: 0.18\ meV \end{cases}, \tag{22}$$

$$\Delta E^B_{3,vp}(2P-2S) = \begin{cases} {}^{6}_{3}Li: 0.10\ meV, & {}^{7}_{3}Li: 0.09\ meV \\ {}^{9}_{4}Be: 0.27\ meV, & {}^{10}_{4}Be: 0.25\ meV \\ {}^{10}_{5}B: 0.68\ meV, & {}^{11}_{5}B: 0.62\ meV \end{cases}, \tag{23}$$

$$\Delta E^B_{4,vp}(2P-2S) = \begin{cases} {}^{6}_{3}Li: -0.66\ meV, & {}^{7}_{3}Li: -0.66\ meV \\ {}^{9}_{4}Be: -2.65\ meV, & {}^{10}_{4}Be: -2.65\ meV \\ {}^{10}_{5}B: -7.58\ meV, & {}^{11}_{5}B: -7.59\ meV \end{cases}. \tag{24}$$

The sum of corrections (21)-(24) is included in Tables I,II,III. The next step to refine the results of the Lamb shift calculation is associated with the two-loop corrections to the polarization of the vacuum in the Breit Hamiltonian. So, for example, two-loop analogue of expression (17) is equal to

$$\Delta V^B_{2-loop\ vp}(r) = \frac{\alpha^2(Z\alpha)}{12\pi^2}\left(\frac{1}{m_1^2}+\frac{1}{m_2^2}\right)\int_0^1 \frac{f(v)dv}{1-v^2}\left[4\pi\delta(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{4m_e^2}{(1-v^2)r}e^{-\frac{2m_e r}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}}\right]. \qquad (25)$$

Corresponding correction to the $(2P-2S)$ shift is on the limit of the accuracy of our calculations. The contribution of other two-loop corrections to the Breit potential can be roughly estimated in the energy spectrum at 10 % (see summary two-loop result in Tables I-III).



FIG. 1: Effects of one-loop and two-loop vacuum polarization in the second order perturbation theory (sopt). Dashed line shows the Coulomb photon. $\tilde{G}$ is the reduced Coulomb Green function (33). Wave line shows terms of the Breit potential.

In the second order perturbation theory (sopt) there are one-loop and two-loop electron vacuum polarization contributions of orders $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^2$ and $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$. To better understand the structure of these contributions, we present them on diagrams in Fig.1. The general expression for corrections has the form:

$$\Delta E^{vp}_{sopt} = <\psi|\Delta V^C_{vp}\tilde{G}\Delta V^C_{vp}|\psi> + 2<\psi|\Delta V^B\tilde{G}\Delta V^C_{vp}|\psi>, \qquad (26)$$

where $\tilde{G}$ is the reduced Coulomb Green's function (RCGF). For the calculation of the Lamb shift contributions we use a representation of the RCGF for $2S-$ and $2P-$ states obtained in [47] (see exact expressions for $\tilde{G}_{2S}$, $\tilde{G}_{2P}$, g_{2S} and g_{2P} in [31]). In the case of the two-loop corrections shown in Fig.1(c), we get the integral expressions for $2S$ and $2P$ states

$$\Delta E^{vp,vp}_{sopt}(2S) = -\frac{\mu\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^2}{72\pi^2}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi\int_1^\infty \rho(\eta)d\eta\times \qquad (27)$$

$$\times\int_0^\infty\left(1-\frac{x}{2}\right)e^{-x\left(1-\frac{2m_e\xi}{W}\right)}dx\int_0^\infty\left(1-\frac{x'}{2}\right)e^{-x'\left(1-\frac{2m_e\eta}{W}\right)}dx' g_{2S}(x,x'),$$

$$\Delta E^{vp,vp}_{sopt}(2P) = -\frac{\mu\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^2}{7776\pi^2}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi\int_1^\infty \rho(\eta)d\eta\times \tag{28}$$
$$\times\int_0^\infty e^{-x\left(1+\frac{2m_e\xi}{W}\right)}dx\int_0^\infty e^{-x'\left(1+\frac{2m_e\eta}{W}\right)}dx' g_{2P}(x,x'),$$

which then give the following numerical results for the Lamb shift:

$$\Delta E^{vp,vp}_{sopt}(2P-2S) = \begin{cases} {}^6_3Li : 5.63\ meV, & {}^7_3Li : 5.66\ meV \\ {}^9_4Be : 12.70\ meV, & {}^{10}_4Be : 12.73\ meV \\ {}^{10}_5B : 23.39\ meV, & {}^{11}_5B : 23.43\ meV. \end{cases} . \tag{29}$$

The relations (27)-(29) show a sequence of steps in the calculation of the Lamb shift. Note also that all the integrals over the coordinates of the particles are calculated analytically. Another contribution, corresponding to the amplitude in Fig.1(c), is obtained by changing the perturbation potential with electron vacuum polarization to potential with muon vacuum polarization. The order of this correction is increased by an additional factor α^2.

The second term in (26) has the similar structure (see Fig.1(b)). For its evaluation we can use a number of intermediate algebraic transformation. We show them in the example of one part of the Breit potential, proportional to $\mathbf{p}^4/(2\mu)^2$:

$$\left\langle\psi\right|\frac{\mathbf{p}^4}{(2\mu)^2}\sum\nolimits'_m\frac{|\psi_m><\psi_m|}{E_2-E_m}\Delta V^C_{vp}\left|\psi\right\rangle = \left\langle\psi\right|(E_2+\frac{Z\alpha}{r})(\hat{H}_0+\frac{Z\alpha}{r})\sum\nolimits'_m\frac{|\psi_m><\psi_m|}{E_2-E_m}\Delta V^C_{vp}\left|\psi\right\rangle = \tag{30}$$
$$= \left\langle\psi\right|\left(E_2+\frac{Z\alpha}{r}\right)^2\tilde{G}\Delta V^C_{vp}\left|\psi\right\rangle - \left\langle\psi\right|\frac{Z\alpha}{r}\Delta V^C_{vp}\left|\psi\right\rangle + \left\langle\psi\right|\frac{Z\alpha}{r}\left|\psi\right\rangle\left\langle\psi\right|\Delta V^C_{vp}\left|\psi\right\rangle.$$

In matrix elements (30), the integration is performed analytically over the coordinates and then numerically by the spectral parameter. Here are final numerical values of the matrix elements for four parts of the Breit potential (1) (relativistic term, contact term, relativistic-recoil term and spin-orbit term):

$$\Delta E^{B,vp}_{sopt,\ 1} = \begin{cases} {}^6_3Li : 18.57\ meV, & {}^7_3Li : 18.79\ meV \\ {}^9_4Be : 68.57\ meV, & {}^{10}_4Be : 68.95\ meV \\ {}^{10}_5B : 184.95\ meV, & {}^{11}_5B : 185.78\ meV \end{cases} , \tag{31}$$

$$\Delta E^{B,vp}_{sopt,\ 2} = \begin{cases} {}^6_3Li : -8.98\ meV, & {}^7_3Li : -9.06\ meV \\ {}^9_4Be : -33.20\ meV, & {}^{10}_4Be : -33.34\ meV \\ {}^{10}_5B : -90.10\ meV, & {}^{11}_5B : -90.42\ meV \end{cases} , \tag{32}$$

$$\Delta E^{B,vp}_{sopt,\ 3} = \begin{cases} {}^6_3Li : 0.18\ meV, & {}^7_3Li : 0.15\ meV \\ {}^9_4Be : 0.44\ meV, & {}^{10}_4Be : 0.40\ meV \\ {}^{10}_5B : 1.08\ meV, & {}^{11}_5B : 0.98\ meV \end{cases} , \tag{33}$$

$$\Delta E^{B,vp}_{sopt,\ 4} = \begin{cases} {}^6_3Li : -0.89\ meV, & {}^7_3Li : -0.90\ meV \\ {}^9_4Be : -4.07\ meV, & {}^{10}_4Be : -4.08\ meV \\ {}^{10}_5B : -12.64\ meV, & {}^{11}_5B : -12.67\ meV \end{cases} . \tag{34}$$

Another corrections of the second order PT shown in Fig.1(d,e,f)), have the similar structure. They appear after the replacements $\Delta V^C_{vp} \to \Delta V^B$ and $\Delta V^C_{vp} \to \Delta V^C_{vp,vp}$ in the

basic amplitude presented in Fig.1(c). Finally, the remaining two-loop corrections in second order PT appear when one makes a replacement in Fig.1(c) $\Delta V^C_{vp} \to \Delta V^B_{vp}$. In general, the calculation of the matrix elements in this case is quite similar to expressions (27)-(28). The total value of two-loop corrections in the second order PT is included in Tables I,II,III.



FIG. 2: Three-loop vacuum polarization corrections in the second order perturbation theory. $\tilde{G}$ is the reduced Coulomb Green function. Dashed line represents the Coulomb photon.

Three-loop vacuum polarization contributions in the second order PT are presented in Fig.2. The perturbation potentials which should be used in this case, are determined by relations (2), (6), (9). Omitting the intermediate expressions, we give only numerical values of these contributions:

$$\Delta E^{vp-vp,vp}_{sopt}(2P-2S) = \begin{cases} {}^{6}_{3}Li : 0.04\ meV, & {}^{7}_{3}Li : 0.04\ meV \\ {}^{9}_{4}Be : 0.10\ meV, & {}^{10}_{4}Be : 0.10\ meV \\ {}^{10}_{5}B : 0.19\ meV, & {}^{11}_{5}B : 0.19\ meV \end{cases}, \tag{35}$$

$$\Delta E^{2-loop\ \ vp,vp}_{sopt}(2P-2S) = \begin{cases} {}^{6}_{3}Li : 0.04\ meV, & {}^{7}_{3}Li : 0.04\ meV \\ {}^{9}_{4}Be : 0.09\ meV, & {}^{10}_{4}Be : 0.09\ meV \\ {}^{10}_{5}B : 0.16\ meV, & {}^{11}_{5}B : 0.16\ meV \end{cases}. \tag{36}$$

In the third order of perturbation theory (topt) there exists also three-loop VP correction of order $\alpha^3(Z\alpha)^2$ which is determined by the following relation [48, 49]:

$$\Delta E^{3\ loop\ vp}_{topt} = <\psi_2|\Delta V^C \tilde{G} \Delta V^C \tilde{G} \Delta V^C|\psi_2> - <\psi_2|\Delta V^C|\psi_2><\psi_2|\Delta V^C \tilde{G}\tilde{G} \Delta V^C|\psi_2>. \tag{37}$$

Its numerical contribution to the Lamb shift is equal to

$$\Delta E^{3\ loop\ vp}_{topt}(2P-2S) = \begin{cases} {}^{6}_{3}Li : 0.05\ meV, & {}^{7}_{3}Li : 0.04\ meV \\ {}^{9}_{4}Be : 0.05\ meV, & {}^{10}_{4}Be : 0.05\ meV \\ {}^{10}_{5}B : 0.31\ meV, & {}^{11}_{5}B : 0.31\ meV \end{cases}. \tag{38}$$

IV. NUCLEAR STRUCTURE AND VACUUM POLARIZATION EFFECTS

The second effect of the Lamb shift, comparable in magnitude to the effect of vacuum polarization, is a nuclear structure effect. In the leading order $(Z\alpha)^4$ it is determined by the nuclear charge radius r_N after an expansion of nuclear electric form factor as follows

(Fig. 3(a)):

$$\Delta E_{str}(2P-2S)=-\frac{\mu^3(Z\alpha)^4}{12}<r_N^2>=\begin{cases}{}^6_3Li:-3674.69\ meV, & {}^7_3Li:-3300.70\ meV\\ {}^9_4Be:-11200.03\ meV, & {}^{10}_4Be:-9825.73\ meV\\ {}^{10}_5B:-25492.50\ meV, & {}^{11}_5B:-25115.11\ meV\end{cases}, \tag{39}$$

where we take the nuclear charge radii from [37] for numerical estimates. The growth of the absolute value of the contribution (39) is due to two factors r_N and Z^4. The signs in formulas (5) and (39) are opposite, thus a significant reduction of the sum (5) and (39) occurs at a certain r_N and Z. As a result this leads to significant decrease in total value of the Lamb shift.



FIG. 3: Leading order nuclear structure and vacuum polarization corrections. Thick point represents the nuclear vertex operator.

In the next to leading order $(Z\alpha)^5$ there is nuclear structure correction which is defined by one-loop exchange diagrams (Fig. 4). Introducing only the charge form factor of the nucleus, we can represent the contribution on finite size of nucleus to the shift of S-levels in the form:

$$\Delta E^{2\gamma}_{str}(nS)=-\frac{\mu^3(Z\alpha)^5}{\pi n^3}\delta_{l0}\int_0^\infty\frac{dk}{k}V(k), \tag{40}$$

$$V(k)=\frac{2(F^2-1)}{m_1m_2}+\frac{8m_1[-F(0)-4m_2^2F'(0)]}{m_2(m_1+m_2)k}+\frac{k^2}{2m_1^3m_2^3}\times \tag{41}$$

$$\times\left[2(F^2-1)(m_1^2+m_2^2)-F^2m_1^2\right]+\frac{\sqrt{k^2+4m_1^2}}{2m_1^3m_2(m_1^2-m_2^2)k}\times$$

$$\times\left\{k^2\left[2(F^2-1)m_2^2-F^2m_1^2\right]+8m_1^4F^2+\frac{16m_1^4m_2^2(F^2-1)}{k^2}\right\}-$$

$$-\frac{\sqrt{k^2+4m_2^2}m_1}{2m_2^3(m_1^2-m_2^2)k}\left\{k^2\left[2(F^2-1)-F^2\right]+8m_2^2F^2+\frac{16m_2^4(F^2-1)}{k^2}\right\},$$

where a subtraction of the point-like contribution and iteration term of quasipotential is made. To perform numerical integration in (40) we use dipole and Gaussian parameterizations for the charge form factor:

$$F_D(k^2)=\frac{\Lambda^4}{(k^2+\Lambda^2)^2},\ \Lambda^2=\frac{12}{<r_N^2>},\quad F_G(k^2)=e^{-\frac{1}{6}k^2r_N^2}. \tag{42}$$

Numerical values of this correction for muonic atoms are the following (the result for Gaussian parameterization is in round brackets):

$$\Delta E^{2\gamma}_{str}(2P-2S) = \begin{cases} {}^{6}_{3}Li : 207.83\ (190.63)\ meV, & {}^{7}_{3}Li : 176.67\ (162.05)\ meV \\ {}^{9}_{4}Be : 826.35\ (757.77)\ meV, & {}^{10}_{4}Be : 678.62\ (622.30)\ meV \\ {}^{10}_{5}B : 2268.56\ (2080.24)\ meV, & {}^{11}_{5}B : 2217.00\ (2032.89)\ meV \end{cases} . \quad (43)$$

We observe a significant change in the value of this contribution (approximately 10%) in the transition from the dipole to the Gaussian parameterizations.



FIG. 4: Nuclear structure corrections of order $(Z\alpha)^5$. Thick point is the nuclear vertex operator.

To increase an accuracy of the Lamb Shift calculation we have to consider corrections, which are determined by the nuclear structure effects and vacuum polarization simultaneously. In one-photon interaction corresponding contribution is represented by the amplitude in Fig.3(b). To obtain a particle interaction operator we make an expansion of the charge form factor in momentum representation and replace the conventional Coulomb potential to the potential of the vacuum polarization. Then in coordinate representation we obtain:

$$\Delta V^{vp}_{str}(r) = \frac{2}{3}\pi Z\alpha < r^2_N > \frac{\alpha}{3\pi}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi \left[\delta(\mathbf{r}) - \frac{m_e^2\xi^2}{\pi r}e^{-2m_e\xi r}\right]. \quad (44)$$

Averaging (44) over wave functions we find the following integral expressions for the corrections to the levels 2S and 2P and their numerical values in the Lamb shift:

$$\Delta E^{vp}_{str}(2S) = \frac{\alpha(Z\alpha)^4 < r^2_N > \mu^3}{36\pi}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi \frac{8a_1^3\xi^3 + 11a_1^2\xi^2 + 8a_1\xi + 2}{2(a_1\xi+1)^4},\ a_1 = \frac{2m_e}{W}, \quad (45)$$

$$\Delta E^{vp}_{str}(2P) = -\frac{\alpha(Z\alpha)^4\mu^3 < r^2_N >}{72\pi}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi \frac{a_1^2\xi^2}{(a_1\xi+1)^4}, \quad (46)$$

$$\Delta E^{vp}_{str}(2P-2S) = \begin{cases} {}^{6}_{3}Li : -14.21\ meV, & {}^{7}_{3}Li : -12.78\ meV \\ {}^{9}_{4}Be : -48.35\ meV, & {}^{10}_{4}Be : -42.44\ meV \\ {}^{10}_{5}B : -118.86\ meV, & {}^{11}_{5}B : -117.14\ meV \end{cases} . \quad (47)$$

The same order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$ contribution is given by the amplitude in the second order PT presented in Fig.3(c):

$$\Delta E^{vp}_{str,sopt}(2P-2S) = -\frac{\alpha(Z\alpha)^4\mu^3 < r^2_N >}{36\pi}\int_1^\infty \rho(\xi)d\xi \times \quad (48)$$

$$\times\frac{4(a_1\xi+1)(2a_1^2\xi^2+1)\ln(a_1\xi+1)+a_1\xi(4a_1\xi(a_1\xi(a_1\xi+3)+1)+11)+3}{(a_1\xi+1)^5}=$$

$$=\begin{cases}{}^{6}_{3}Li:-23.00\ meV, & {}^{7}_{3}Li:-20.68\ meV\\ {}^{9}_{4}Be:-80.52\ meV, & {}^{10}_{4}Be:-70.68\ meV\\ {}^{10}_{5}B:-202.98\ meV, & {}^{11}_{5}B:-200.06\ meV\end{cases}.$$



FIG. 5: Nuclear structure and two-loop vacuum polarization effects in the one-photon interaction. Thick point is the nuclear vertex operator.

Bearing in mind that the quantities (47) and (48) are large we evaluate also nuclear structure corrections with the account of two-loop vacuum polarization effects in 1γ-interaction (Fig. 5(a-c)). The method of constructing the potentials is the same as in this and preceding sections. Corresponding potentials have the following form:

$$\Delta V^{vp-vp}_{str}(r)=\frac{2}{3}Z\alpha<r_N^2>\left(\frac{\alpha}{3\pi}\right)^2\int_1^\infty\rho(\xi)d\xi\int_1^\infty\rho(\eta)d\eta\times \tag{49}$$

$$\times\left[\pi\delta(\mathbf{r})-\frac{m_e^2}{r(\xi^2-\eta^2)}\left(\xi^4e^{-2m_e\xi r}-\eta^4e^{-2m_e\eta r}\right)\right],$$

$$\Delta V^{2-loop\ vp}_{str}(r)=\frac{4}{9}Z\alpha<r_N^2>\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2\int_0^1\frac{f(v)dv}{1-v^2}\left[\pi\delta(\mathbf{r})-\frac{m_e^2}{r(1-v^2)}e^{-\frac{2m_er}{\sqrt{1-v^2}}}\right]. \tag{50}$$

The sum of corrections to the Lamb shift $(2P-2S)$ that are provided by (49) and (50) is equal

$$\Delta E^{vp,vp}_{str}(2P-2S)=\begin{cases}{}^{6}_{3}Li:-0.22\ meV, & {}^{7}_{3}Li:-0.20\ meV\\ {}^{9}_{4}Be:-0.82\ meV, & {}^{10}_{4}Be:-0.70\ meV\\ {}^{10}_{5}B:-2.15\ meV, & {}^{11}_{5}B:-2.12\ meV\end{cases}. \tag{51}$$

There are two-loop VP corrections with nuclear structure of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^4$ in the second order PT (see Fig. 6(a-d)). They can be calculated as (45) with the replacement one-loop VP potential to two-loop. Their numerical values are included in Table I,II,III. An important role plays a correction by two-photon exchange diagrams with the effect of vacuum polarization, as it reinforced by the factor Z^5 (see Fig. 7). An analytical expression for this correction and its numerical value is defined by modified potential $V(p)$ from (41):

$$\Delta E^{2\gamma}_{str,vp}(nS)=-\frac{2\mu^3\alpha(Z\alpha)^5}{\pi^2n^3}\int_0^\infty kV(k)dk\int_0^1\frac{v^2(1-\frac{v^2}{3})dv}{k^2(1-v^2)+4m_e^2}, \tag{52}$$



FIG. 6: Nuclear structure and two-loop vacuum polarization effects in the second order perturbation theory. Thick point is the nuclear vertex operator. $\tilde{G}$ is the reduced Coulomb Green function.

$$\Delta E^{2\gamma}_{str,vp}(2P-2S) = \begin{cases} {}^{6}_{3}Li : 3.19(3.05)\ meV, & {}^{7}_{3}Li : 2.73(2.61)\ meV \\ {}^{9}_{4}Be : 12.74(12.08)\ meV, & {}^{10}_{4}Be : 10.80(10.05)\ meV \\ {}^{10}_{5}B : 35.07(33.37)\ meV, & {}^{11}_{5}B : 33.67(32.63)\ meV \end{cases} . \tag{53}$$



FIG. 7: Nuclear structure and electron vacuum polarization effects in the two-photon exchange diagrams. Thick point is the nuclear vertex operator.

Since expression (52) contains the charge form factor of the nucleus, here we present two numerical values of the contribution corresponding to the parameterizations in (42). Corrections to the Lamb shift discussed in this and previous sections are such that analytical expressions for them are quite bulky, since the characteristic parameter W/m_e is large. It can not be used as an expansion parameter. For this reason, it is more convenient to present corrections in integral form, what we do in this paper.

V. RECOIL CORRECTIONS, MUON SELF-ENERGY AND VACUUM POLARIZATION EFFECTS

There is another group of corrections, which were obtained in analytical form in the study of the Lamb shift $(2P-2S)$ in the hydrogen atom during many years. Their calculation is discussed in detail in [15]. Corresponding analytical results can be used directly for numerical estimates in muonic atoms. For the sake of completeness we present in this section the key expressions for such corrections, which have necessary order in α and the ratio of particle masses and provide significant numerical values in the Lamb shift $(2P-2S)$.

It is known an analytical expression for the recoil correction of order α^4, which was

obtained after calculating the matrix elements of the Breit potential [15, 45, 50]:

$$\Delta E^{(Z\alpha)^4}_{rec}(2P-2S)=\begin{cases}\frac{\mu^3(Z\alpha)^4}{48m_2^2}, & \delta_I=1,\\ \frac{\mu^3(Z\alpha)^4}{12m_2^2}, & \delta_I=0,\end{cases}=\begin{cases}{}^6_3Li:0.68\ meV, & {}^7_3Li:0.13\ meV\\ {}^9_4Be:0.24\ meV, & {}^{10}_4Be:0.79\ meV\\ {}^{10}_5B:1.94\ meV, & {}^{11}_5B:0.40\ meV\end{cases}. \quad (54)$$

The recoil correction of order $(Z\alpha)^5$ is related with two-photon exchange amplitudes in which the nucleus is considered as a point particle [15, 50]:

$$\Delta E^{(Z\alpha)^5}_{rec}=\frac{\mu^3(Z\alpha)^5}{m_1m_2\pi n^3}\big[(\frac{2}{3}\ln\frac{1}{Z\alpha}-\frac{1}{9})\delta_{l0}-\frac{8}{3}\ln k_0(n,l)-\frac{7}{3}a_n-\frac{2}{m_2^2-m_1^2}\delta_{l0}(m_2^2\ln\frac{m_1}{\mu}-m_1^2\ln\frac{m_2}{\mu})\big], \quad (55)$$

where $\ln k_0(n,l)$ is the Bethe logarithm [15, 51]:

$$\ln k_0(2S)=2.811769893120563, \quad (56)$$

$$\ln k_0(2P)=-0.030016708630213, \quad (57)$$

$$a_n=-2\left[\ln\frac{2}{n}+(1+\frac{1}{2}+...+\frac{1}{n}+1-\frac{1}{2n}\right]\delta_{l0}+\frac{(1-\delta_{l0})}{l(l+1)(2l+1)}. \quad (58)$$

The expression (55) gives the following numerical result:

$$\Delta E^{(Z\alpha)^5}_{rec}(2P-2S)=\begin{cases}{}^6_3Li:-2.15\ meV, & {}^7_3Li:-1.86\ meV\\ {}^9_4Be:-5.97\ meV, & {}^{10}_4Be:-5.40\ meV\\ {}^{10}_5B:-16.03\ meV, & {}^{11}_5B:-14.63\ meV\end{cases}. \quad (59)$$

Numerical result for recoil correction of order $(Z\alpha)^6$ is presented in Tables I,II,III according to analytical formula from [15, 52].

It should be noted a significant value contribution, which is given by the radiative corrections to the muon line, corrections from the Dirac and Pauli form factors of the muon and muon vacuum polarization (mvp). It is appropriate to quote here the relevant analytical formulas [15, 53]:

$$\Delta E_{mvp,mse}(2S)=\frac{\alpha(Z\alpha)^4}{8\pi}\frac{\mu^3}{m_1^2}\left[\frac{4}{3}\ln\frac{m_1}{\mu(Z\alpha)^2}-\frac{4}{3}\ln k_0(2S)+\frac{38}{45}+\right. \quad (60)$$

$$\left.+\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\left(-\frac{9}{4}\zeta(3)+\frac{3}{2}\pi^2\ln 2-\frac{10}{27}\pi^2-\frac{2179}{648}\right)+4\pi Z\alpha\left(\frac{427}{384}-\frac{\ln 2}{2}\right)\right],$$

$$\Delta E_{mvp,mse}(2P)=\frac{\alpha(Z\alpha)^4}{8\pi}\frac{\mu^3}{m_1^2}\left[-\frac{4}{3}\ln k_0(2P)-\frac{m_1}{6\mu}-\right. \quad (61)$$

$$\left.-\frac{\alpha}{3\pi}\frac{m_1}{\mu}\left(\frac{3}{4}\zeta(3)-\frac{\pi^2}{2}\ln 2+\frac{\pi^2}{12}+\frac{197}{144}\right)\right],$$

which lead to numerical results:

$$\Delta E_{mse,mvp}(2P-2S)=\begin{cases}{}^6_3Li:-50.99\ meV, & {}^7_3Li:-51.36\ meV\\ {}^9_4Be:-149.00\ meV, & {}^{10}_4Be:-149.52\ meV\\ {}^{10}_5B:-337.45\ meV, & {}^{11}_5B:-338.40\ meV\end{cases}. \quad (62)$$

Significantly smaller size are the radiative-recoil corrections of orders $\alpha(Z\alpha)^5$ and $(Z^2\alpha)(Z\alpha)^4$ from the Tables 8-9 [15] (see their explicit form in [31]). Their numerical values we have included in the summary Tables I-III.

On the basis of obtained in [54, 55] expressions we give an estimate of the nuclear structure corrections of orders $(Z\alpha)^6$ and $\alpha(Z\alpha)^5$ to the lamb shift in muonic ions. So, for the structure correction of order $(Z\alpha)^6$ we obtain:

$$\Delta E^{(Z\alpha)^6}_{str}(2P-2S)=\frac{(Z\alpha)^6}{12}\mu^3\Big\{r_N^2\left[\langle\ln\mu Z\alpha r\rangle+C-\frac{3}{2}\right]-\frac{1}{2}r_N^2+\frac{1}{3}\langle r^3\rangle\langle\frac{1}{r}\rangle- \tag{63}$$

$$-I_2^{rel}-I_3^{rel}-\mu^2F_{NR}+\frac{1}{40}\mu^2\langle r^4\rangle\Big\}=\begin{cases}{}^6_3Li:-7.38\ meV, & {}^7_3Li:-6.69\ meV\\ {}^9_4Be:-40.60\ meV, & {}^{10}_4Be:-35.70\ meV\\ {}^{10}_5B:-145.27\ meV, & {}^{11}_5B:-143.04\ meV\end{cases}.$$

where the quantities $I^{rel}_{2,3}, F_{NR}$ are written explicitly in [54]. Significant growth of the numerical values in (63) in the transition from one to the other muonic ions muon is caused by two factors Z and r_N. Summary result for corrections of orders $(Z\alpha)^6$ and $\alpha(Z\alpha)^5$ is presented in Tables I,II,III.



FIG. 8: Radiative corrections with the vacuum polarization effects.

An amplitude in Fig.8(b) gives the contribution to the energy spectrum, which can be expressed in terms of the slope of the Dirac form factor F_1' and the Pauli form factor F_2. Numerical values in the Lamb shift are obtained by means of two-loop corrections to form factors $F_1'(0)$ and $F_2(0)$ which were calculated in [56]. Another contribution with vacuum polarization in Fig. 8(a) was investigated in [16, 57]. It is included in final Tables on separate line. The contribution of hadron vacuum polarization to the Lamb shift can be derived by means of corresponding result for muonic hydrogen [58–60].

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In this study, we perform a calculation of the Lamb shift $(2P_{1/2}-2S_{1/2})$ in the number of muonic ions with different nuclear charge and the nuclear charge radius. Different corrections with fairly high degrees of fine structure constant $\alpha^3\div\alpha^6$ have been taken into account. All contributions that are analyzed may be divided into two groups. The first group includes the corrections specific to each muonic ion, which are presented in the integral form and calculated analytically and numerically. The second group of corrections is obtained on

the basis of the known analytical expressions derived in the study of the Lamb shift in the hydrogen atom. Numerical values of all corrections are written explicitly in Tables I-III. The resulting total numerical values of shifts in the muonic ions of lithium, beryllium and boron can be used for comparison with future experimental data. These numerical values allow us to trace the dynamics of changes in the values of corrections during the transition from one ion to another.

It is known that the position of the energy levels of the $2S_{1/2}$ and $2P_{1/2}$ in atoms of electronic hydrogen and muonic hydrogen differs significantly. A similar change we have seen in the study of muonic ions $(\mu Li)^{2+}$, $(\mu Be)^{3+}$ and $(\mu B)^{4+}$: if a muonic lithium ion $2P$ level is above the $2S$ level, then for ions of muonic beryllium and boron we get the reverse arrangement of levels. This effect is due to compensate for two basic contributions to the Lamb shift from a one-loop electronic vacuum polarization and nuclear structure of order $(Z\alpha)^4$. As a result the corrections of higher order in α, enhanced by nuclear charge degrees, become more important.

As noted above, the problem of the Lamb shift in muonic ions of lithium, beryllium and boron was studied many years ago in [7]. One part of results in [7] was obtained with the use of nonrelativistic wave functions and treating the finite nuclear size and vacuum-polarization potentials as small perturbations. It is consistent with our results within a small change in the fundamental physical constants. Another part of the results in [7] was obtained by means of numerical solution of the Dirac equation and treating the remaining small corrections due to the muon self-energy, the higher-order Källen-Sabrey vacuum polarization term, and nuclear polarization by perturbation theory. To compare our results with such calculation in [7] we must take the sum of a few lines of our Tables, corresponding to the first and second-order perturbation theory, and in the case of effects on the structure of the nucleus to the sum of corrections of one-photon and two-photon amplitudes. Since our other corrections are numerically small, it is convenient further to compare the complete results for the Lamb shift. Our values of the charge radii of nuclei are slightly different from the values of [7], what is one of the reasons for the differences of total numerical results. Another reason is related to corrections of a higher order, accounted for in this paper. For example, we use the value of the charge radius of lithium nucleus $r(^6_3Li) = 2.5890$ fm from [37]. It is slightly different from the value 2.560 fm used in [7]. This difference gives an addition of 82 meV to our result from Table I due to structure correction of order $(Z\alpha)^4$ (39). In turn, our structure correction of order $(Z\alpha)^5$ (43) becomes smaller on 7 meV and reduces essentially the divergence from the value of the Lamb shift in [7]. It is necessary also to point out that the nuclear charge density distribution in our work and in [7] are slightly different. The same situation occurs for the other nuclei.

Note also that there is another contribution to the polarizability of the nucleus, for which we use an estimate from [7]. It is expressed in terms of the (-2) moment of the total electric-dipole photo-absorption cross section σ_{-2}. In the case of light nuclei there exists a simple formula $\sigma_{-2} = 3.5 \cdot k \cdot A^{5/3}\ \frac{\mu b}{MeV}$ [61] which allows to obtain an estimate for the cross sections of lithium, beryllium and boron. The main uncertainty of the calculation is related with nuclear structure and polarizability corrections. The errors of corresponding contributions to the Lamb shift are presented explicitly in Tables, where we have indicated only one total value of the Lamb shift corresponding to dipole parameterization for the nuclear charge form factor. The uncertainties connected with the value of nuclear charge radius appear at the calculation of other structure corrections but they are much less basic. Weak interaction contribution is very small and is not considered in this study (see [62]). Thus, with proper

experimental accuracy we can obtain more precise values of the nuclear charge radii.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant No. 16-02-00554) and Ministry of Education and Science of Russia (grant No. 1394).

---

[1] R. Pohl, A. Antognini, F. Nez et al., Nature **466**, 213 (2010).
[2] A. Antognini et al., Science **339**, 417 (2013).
[3] A. Antognini et al., Ann. Phys. (NY) **331**, 127 (2013).
[4] R. Pohl, R. Gilman, G. A. Miller, and K. Pachucki, Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci. **63**, 175 (2013).
[5] R. Pohl, F. Nez, L. M. P. Fernandes et al., Science **353**, 669 (2016).
[6] R. Pohl, et al., arXiv:1609.03440[physics.atom-ph].
[7] G. W. F. Drake and L. L. Byer, Phys. Rev. A **32**, 713 (1985).
[8] R. Swainson and G. W. F. Drake, Phys. Rev. A **34**, 620 (1986).
[9] R. N. Faustov and A. P. Martynenko, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **88**, 672 (1999).
[10] A. P. Martynenko, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **101**, 1021 (2005).
[11] E. Borie, Z. Phys. A **275**, 347 (1975).
[12] E. Borie and G. A. Rinker, Phys. Rev. A **18**, 324 (1978).
[13] E. Borie and G. A. Rinker, Rev. Mod. Phys. **54**, 67 (1982).
[14] J. L. Friar, Ann. Phys. **122**, 151 (1979).
[15] M. I. Eides, H. Grotch and V. A. Shelyuto, Phys. Rep. **342**, 62 (2001); Theory of Light Hydrogenic Bound States, Springer Tracts in Modern Physics, V. 222 (Springer, Berlin, Heidelbeg, New York, 2007).
[16] K. Pachucki, Phys. Rev. A **53**, 2092 (1996).
[17] E. Borie, Ann. Phys. **327**, 733 (2012).
[18] U. D. Jentschura, Ann. Phys. **326**, 500 (2011).
[19] C. E. Carlson, V. Nazaryan and K. Griffioen, Phys. Rev. A **83**, 042509 (2011).
[20] E. Yu. Korzinin, V. G. Ivanov and S. G. Karshenboim, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 125019 (2013).
[21] J. L. Friar, Phys. Rev. C **88**, 034003 (2013).
[22] C. E. Carlson, M. Gorchtein and M. Vanderhaegen, Phys. Rev. A **89**, 022504 (2014).
[23] S. G. Karshenboim, E. Yu. Korzinin, V. G. Ivanov and V. A. Shelyuto, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 060501 (2010).
[24] G. A. Miller, A. W. Thomas, J. D. Carroll, and J. Rafelski, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 020101(R) (2011).
[25] A. De Rujula, Phys. Lett. B **697**, 26 (2011).
[26] J. J. Krauth, M. Diepold, B. Franke et al., Ann. Phys. **366**, 168 (2016).
[27] A. V. Eskin, R. N. Faustov, A. P. Martynenko and F. A. Martynenko, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **31**, 1650104 (2016).
[28] R. N. Faustov, A. P. Martynenko, G. A. Martynenko, and V. V. Sorokin, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 052512 (2015).
[29] F. Hagelstein and V. Pascalutsa, PoS CD**15**, 077 (2016).

[30] N. T. Huong, E. Kou, and B. Moussallam, Phys. Rev. D **93**, 114005 (2016).
[31] A. A. Krutov, A. P. Martynenko, G. A. Martynenko, R. N. Faustov, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **120**, 73 (2015).
[32] R. N. Faustov and A. P. Martynenko, J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **98**, 39 (2004).
[33] R. N. Faustov, A. P. Martynenko, G. A. Martynenko and V. V. Sorokin, Phys. Rev. A **90**, 012520 (2014).
[34] R. N. Faustov, A. P. Martynenko, G. A. Martynenko and V. V. Sorokin, Phys. Lett. B **733**, 354 (2014).
[35] A. A. Krutov and A. P. Martynenko, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 052514 (2011).
[36] P. J. Mohr, B. N. Taylor and D. B. Newell, Rev. Mod. Phys. **84**, 1527 (2012).
[37] I. Angeli and K. P. Marinova, Atom. Data and Nucl. Data Tables **99**, 69 (2013).
[38] V. B. Berestetskii, E. M. Lifshits, L. P. Pitaevskii, Quantum Electrodynamics, M., Nauka, 1980.
[39] G. Källen and A. Sabry, Mat. Fys. Medd. K. Dan. Vidensk. Selsk. **29**, 17 (1955).
[40] T. Kinoshita and M. Nio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **62**, 3240 (1999).
[41] T. Kinoshita and M. Nio, Phys. Rev. **D60**, 053008 (1999).
[42] E. H. Wichmann and N. M. Kroll, Phys. Rev. **101**, 843 (1956).
[43] P. J. Mohr, G. Plunien and G. Soff, Phys. Rep. **293**, 228 (1998).
[44] S. G. Karshenboim, V. G. Ivanov, E. Yu. Korzinin and V. A. Shelyuto, JETP Lett. **92**, 8 (2010).
[45] U. D. Jentschura, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 012505 (2011).
[46] A. P. Martynenko, Phys. Rev. A **76**, 012505 (2007).
[47] H. F. Hameka, Jour. Chem. Phys. **47**, 2728 (1967).
[48] T. Kinoshita and M. Nio, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103**, 079901 (2009).
[49] V. G. Ivanov, E. Yu. Korzinin, S. G. Karshenboim Phys. Rev. D **80**, 027702 (2009).
[50] J. R. Sapirstein and D. R. Yennie, in *Quantum Electrodynamics*, edited by T. Kinoshita, World Scientific, Singapore, p. 560, (1990).
[51] G. W. F. Drake and R. A. Swainson, Phys. Rev. A **41**, 1243 (1990).
[52] M. I. Eides and H. Grotch, Phys. Rev. A **55**, 3351 (1995).
[53] M. I. Eides and H. Grotch, Phys. Rev. A **56**, R2507 (1997).
[54] J. L. Friar, Ann. Phys. **122**, 151 (1979); J. L. Friar and G. L. Payne, Phys. Rev. A **56**, 5173 (1997)
[55] G. P. Lepage, D. R. Yennie and G. W. Erickson, Phys. Rev. Lett. **47**, 1640 (1981).
[56] R. Barbieri, M. Caffo and E. Remiddi, Nuovo Cimento Lett. **7**, 60 (1973)
[57] U. D. Jentschura and B. J. Wundt, Eur. Phys. J. D **65**, 357 (2011).
[58] E. Borie, Z. Phys. A **302**, 187 (1981).
[59] J. L. Friar, J. Martorell and D. W. L. Sprung, Phys. Rev. **A59**, 4061 (1999).
[60] A. P. Martynenko and R. N. Faustov, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **64**, 1282 (2001).
[61] J. M. Orce, Phys. Rev. C **91**, 064602 (2015).
[62] M. I. Eides, Phys. Rev. A **53**, 2953 (1995); Phys. Rev. A **85**, 034503 (2012)

TABLE I: Lamb shift $(2P_{1/2} - 2S_{1/2})$ in muonic ions $(\mu\ {}^{7}_{3}Li)^{2+}$ and $(\mu\ {}^{6}_{3}Li)^{2+}$.

Contribution to the splitting	$(\mu\ {}^{7}_{3}Li)^{2+}$, meV	$(\mu\ {}^{6}_{3}Li)^{2+}$, meV
1	2	3
VP contribution of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^2$ in one-photon interaction	4682.38	4664.95
Two-loop VP contribution of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^2$	32.54	32.41
VP and MVP contribution in one-photon interaction	0.01	0.01
Three-loop VP contribution in one-photon interaction	0.17	0.17
The Wichmann-Kroll correction	-0.09	-0.09
Light-by-Light scattering correction	0.03	0.03
Relativistic and VP corrections of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$ in the first order PT	-6.13	-6.07
Relativistic and two-loop VP corrections of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^4$ in the first order PT	-0.02	-0.02
Two-loop VP contribution of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^2$ in the second order PT	5.66	5.63
Two-loop MVP and EVP contribution in the second order PT	0.02	0.02
Relativistic and one-loop VP corrections of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$ in the second order PT	8.98	8.88
Three-loop VP contribution in the second order PT of order $\alpha^3(Z\alpha)^2$	0.08	0.08
Three-loop VP contribution in the third order PT of order $\alpha^3(Z\alpha)^2$	0.04	0.05
Relativistic and two-loop VP corrections of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^4$ in the second order PT	0.15	0.15
Nuclear structure contribution of order $(Z\alpha)^4$	-3301 ± 117	-3675 ± 112
Nuclear structure contribution of order $(Z\alpha)^5$ from 2γ amplitudes	177 ± 9	208 ± 9
Nuclear structure and VP contribution in 1γ interaction of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$	-12.78	-14.21
Nuclear structure and VP contribution in the second order PT of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$	-20.68	-23.00

Table I (continued).

1	2	3
Nuclear structure and two-loop VP contribution in 1γ interaction of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^4$	-0.11	-0.11
Nuclear structure and two-loop VP contribution in the second order PT of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^4$	-0.30	-0.33
Nuclear structure contribution of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^5$ from 2γ amplitudes with VP insertion	2.73	3.19
Recoil correction of order $(Z\alpha)^4$	0.13	0.68
Recoil correction of order $(Z\alpha)^5$	-1.86	-2.15
Recoil correction of order $(Z\alpha)^6$	0.02	0.03
Muon self-energy and MVP contribution	-51.36	-50.99
Radiative-recoil corrections of orders $(Z^2\alpha)(Z\alpha)^4$	-0.12	-0.16
Nuclear structure corrections of orders $(Z\alpha)^6$, $\alpha(Z\alpha)^5$	-5.52	-6.07
Muon form factor $F_1'(0)$, $F_2(0)$ contributions	-0.16	-0.16
Muon self-energy and VP contribution	-0.23	-0.23
HVP contribution	1.17	1.16
Nuclear polarizability	21 ± 4	15 ± 4
Total contribution	1531.75	1161.85

TABLE II: Lamb shift $(2P_{1/2} - 2S_{1/2})$ in muonic ions $(\mu\ {}^{10}_{4}Be)^{3+}$ and $(\mu\ {}^{9}_{4}Be)^{3+}$.

Contribution to the splitting	$(\mu\ {}^{10}_{4}Be)^{3+}$, meV	$(\mu\ {}^{9}_{4}Be)^{3+}$, meV
1	2	3
VP contribution of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^2$ in one-photon interaction	9270.74	9255.79
Two-loop VP contribution of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^2$	65.75	65.66
VP and MVP contribution in one-photon interaction	0.05	0.05
Three-loop VP contribution in one-photon interaction	0.42	0.42
The Wichmann-Kroll correction	-0.24	-0.24
Light-by-Light scattering correction	0.07	0.07
Relativistic and VP corrections of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$ in the first order PT	-22.34	-22.23
Relativistic and two-loop VP corrections of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^4$ in the first order PT	-0.07	-0.07
Two-loop VP contribution of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^2$ in the second order PT	12.73	12.70
Two-loop MVP and EVP contribution in the second order PT	0.04	0.04
Relativistic and one-loop VP corrections of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$ in the second order PT	31.93	31.74
Three-loop VP contribution in the second order PT of order $\alpha^3(Z\alpha)^2$	0.19	0.19
Three-loop VP contribution in the third order PT of order $\alpha^3(Z\alpha)^2$	0.05	0.05
Relativistic and two-loop VP corrections of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^4$ in the second order PT	0.55	0.54
Nuclear structure contribution of order $(Z\alpha)^4$	-9826 ± 142	-11200 ± 107
Nuclear structure contribution of order $(Z\alpha)^5$ from 2γ amplitudes	679 ± 14	826 ± 12
Nuclear structure and VP contribution in 1γ interaction of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$	-42.44	-48.35
Nuclear structure and VP contribution in the second order PT of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$	-70.68	-80.52

Table II (continued).

1	2	3
Nuclear structure and two-loop VP contribution in 1γ interaction of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^4$	-0.36	-0.41
Nuclear structure and two-loop VP contribution in the second order PT of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^4$	-1.09	-1.25
Nuclear structure contribution of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^5$ from 2γ amplitudes with VP insertion	10.80	12.74
Nuclear structure and three-loop VP contribution in the second order PT of order $\alpha^3(Z\alpha)^4$	-0.01	-0.01
Recoil correction of order $(Z\alpha)^4$	0.79	0.24
Recoil correction of order $(Z\alpha)^5$	-5.40	-5.97
Recoil correction of order $(Z\alpha)^6$	0.10	0.11
Muon self-energy and MVP contribution	-149.52	-149.00
Radiative-recoil corrections of orders $(Z^2\alpha)(Z\alpha)^4$	-0.31	-0.39
Nuclear structure corrections of orders $(Z\alpha)^6$, $\alpha(Z\alpha)^5$	-31.44	-35.44
Muon form factor $F_1'(0)$, $F_2(0)$ contributions	-0.52	-0.51
Muon self-energy and VP contribution	-0.71	-0.71
HVP contribution	3.75	3.74
Nuclear polarizability	104 ± 21	82 ± 16
Total contribution	29.83	-1253.02

TABLE III: Lamb shift $(2P_{1/2} - 2S_{1/2})$ in muonic ions $(\mu\ {}^{11}_{5}B)^{4+}$ and $(\mu\ {}^{10}_{5}B)^{4+}$.

Contribution to the splitting	$(\mu\ {}^{11}_{5}B)^{4+}$, meV	$(\mu\ {}^{10}_{5}B)^{4+}$, meV
1	2	3
VP contribution of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^2$ in one-photon interaction	15375.55	15356.42
Two-loop VP contribution of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^2$	112.09	111.94
VP and MVP contribution in one-photon interaction	0.13	0.13
Three-loop VP contribution in one-photon interaction	0.77	0.73
The Wichmann-Kroll correction	-0.50	-0.50
Light-by-Light scattering correction	0.16	0.16
Relativistic and VP corrections of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$ in the first order PT	-59.73	-59.46
Relativistic and two-loop VP corrections of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^4$ in the first order PT	-0.17	-0.17
Two-loop VP contribution of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^2$ in the second order PT	23.43	23.39
Two-loop MVP and EVP contribution in the second order PT	0.10	0.10
Relativistic and one-loop VP corrections of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$ in the second order PT	83.67	83.29
Three-loop VP contribution in the second order PT of order $\alpha^3(Z\alpha)^2$	0.35	0.35
Three-loop VP contribution in the third order PT of order $\alpha^3(Z\alpha)^2$	0.31	0.31
Relativistic and two-loop VP corrections of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^4$ in the second order PT	1.44	1.44
Nuclear structure contribution of order $(Z\alpha)^4$	-25115 ± 618	-25493 ± 1059
Nuclear structure contribution of order $(Z\alpha)^5$ from 2γ amplitudes	2217 ± 82	2269 ± 143
Nuclear structure and VP contribution in 1γ interaction of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$	-117.14	-118.86
Nuclear structure and VP contribution in the second order PT of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^4$	-200.06	-202.98

Table III (continued).

1	2	3
Nuclear structure and two-loop VP contribution in 1γ interaction of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^4$	-1.00	-1.02
Nuclear structure and two-loop VP contribution in the second order PT of order $\alpha^2(Z\alpha)^4$	-3.25	-3.30
Nuclear structure contribution of order $\alpha(Z\alpha)^5$ from 2γ amplitudes with VP insertion	33.67	35.07
Nuclear structure and three-loop VP contribution in the second order PT of order $\alpha^3(Z\alpha)^4$	-0.05	-0.07
Recoil correction of order $(Z\alpha)^4$	0.40	1.94
Recoil correction of order $(Z\alpha)^5$	-14.63	-16.03
Recoil correction of order $(Z\alpha)^6$	0.34	0.37
Muon self-energy and MVP contribution	-338.40	-337.45
Radiative-recoil corrections of orders $(Z^2\alpha)(Z\alpha)^4$	-0.91	-1.10
Nuclear structure corrections of orders $(Z\alpha)^6$, $\alpha(Z\alpha)^5$	-128.14	-130.15
Muon form factor $F_1'(0)$, $F_2(0)$ contributions	-1.26	-1.26
Muon self-energy and VP contribution	-1.66	-1.66
HVP contribution	9.18	9.15
Nuclear polarizability	122 ± 24	103 ± 21
Total contribution	-8001.31	-8370.22